

In claim 29, at line 6, delete "in a table" and insert between "it" and "the" – with –.

In claim 32, at lines 4 and 9, delete "table" and insert – data structure --.

In claim 35, at lines 4 and 9, delete "table" and insert – data structure --.

In claim 38, at lines 2³ and 6, delete "table" and insert – data structure --.

REMARKS

Claims 19-40 are pending. Claims 19-40 have been rejected by the Examiner.

- Claims 19-22, 24, 25, 27, 29, 30, 32, 33, 35, 36, 38 and 39 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,365,520 issued to Wang et al. (hereinafter *Wang*).
- Claims 23, 26, 28, 31, 34, 37 and 40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Wang*.

For all pending claims, Applicant claims priority to June 16, 1989, which is the filing date of the application maturing into his U.S. Patent No. 5,095,480. The present application has been amended to make this claim of priority. The present application continues all of the subject matter disclosed in the application for the '480 patent. Generally speaking, this is the description that pertains to Figs. 1-4. With reference to the '480 patent (and the present application), the present claims find support in column 8, lines 6-68 (p. 25, line 1 to p.27, line 12); column 9, lines 1-59 (p. 27, line 12 to p.28, line 17); and column 17, lines 31-50 (p. 49, line 1 to p. 50, line 12), among other places. Because the filing date of *Wang* is well past this priority date, it cannot constitute prior art to the pending claims.

Accordingly, Applicant requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 19-22, 24, 25, 27, 29, 30, 32, 33, 35, 36, 38 and 39 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by *Wang* and claims 23, 26, 28, 31, 34, 37 and 40 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Wang*.

Applicant has chosen not to specifically address the reasoning of the Examiner's rejection in view of *Wang et al.* not constituting prior art. However, by electing not to do so, he does not acquiesce to any of the Examiner's reasoning.

Applicant submits that the prior art of record does not anticipate or render obvious the claims.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested. Please telephone the undersigned representative should he be of any assistance.

Applicant submits herewith a Fee Transmittal and a Petition for Extension of Time under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a). The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayment associated with this Amendment to Deposit Account No. 13-4900 of Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr, P.C.

Respectfully submitted,



Marc A. Hubbard
Registration No. 32,506

Date: 12-18-2000
Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr, P.C.
1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 4000
Dallas, TX 75202
Tel. (214) 855-7571
Customer No. 23559